



SUBSCRIBE  e-newsletter  magazines

SEARCH

GO  Advanced



[Current Issue](#) | [Articles](#) | [Featured Topics](#) | [Resources](#) | [Media Center](#) | [Links](#) | [Blogs](#) | [Events](#) | [Advertise](#) | [Products](#) | [Classifieds](#) | [Jobs](#)

### Power Group Online Article

 BOOKMARK   Add RSS Feed

**FLSMIDTH**

 SAVE THIS

 EMAIL THIS

 PRINT THIS

## Short Run Effects of a Price on Carbon Dioxide Emissions

By Adam Newcomer, Seth A. Blumsack, Jay Apt, Lester B. Lave and M. Granger Morgan of Carnegie Mellon University

Part 1 of 2

Make building process analyzer sampling systems easier.

**Swagelok® Modular Platform Components**



Swagelok  
MEET THE CHALLENGE™

**80% of the world's nuclear power plants use Flowserve.**

Obviously 'trusted partner' translates well.

 Download and Read Nuclear Power Magazine Now

**FLOWSERVE**

80% figure excludes facilities where regulatory restrictions prevented bids.

### The Study

The price of delivered electricity will rise if generators have to pay for carbon dioxide emissions through an implicit or explicit mechanism.

There are two main effects that a substantial price on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions would have in the short run (before the generation fleet changes significantly). First, consumers would react to increased price by buying less, described by their price elasticity of demand. Second, a price on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions would change the order in which existing generators are economically dispatched, depending on their carbon dioxide emissions and marginal fuel prices.

Both the price increase and dispatch changes depend on the mix of generation technologies and fuels in the region available for dispatch, although the consumer response to higher

prices is the dominant effect. This study estimates that the instantaneous imposition of a price of \$35 per metric ton on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions would lead to a 10 percent reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in PJM and MISO at a price elasticity of -0.1. Reductions in ERCOT would be about one-third as large. Thus, a price on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions that has been shown in earlier work to stimulate investment in new generation technology also provides significant CO<sub>2</sub> reductions before new technology is deployed at large scale.

Recent judicial, political and industrial actions suggest that there may soon be either an explicit or implicit price on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the United States. Because 72 percent of the electricity generated in the U.S. comes from fossil fuels (50 percent from coal) a price on carbon emissions will increase the cost of generating electricity. Previous studies have examined the effects of the price of emitted CO<sub>2</sub> on firm-level decisions about what type of generation to build, and on whether to retrofit or replace an existing plant. These studies have generally found that costs of between \$35 and \$50 per metric ton of CO<sub>2</sub> will be required to induce private firms to invest in low-carbon technologies such as coal with carbon capture and sequestration.

Here we consider the short run effects of imposing such prices on the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of the existing fleet of generation plants. That is, we consider the effects on electricity price and demand before any new or replacement capacity can be built. The replacement time for U.S. generation plants has been very long (the median size-weighted age of the in-service coal generation units is 35 years; 75 percent of the capacity is at least 27 years old, and 25

percent is at least 42 years old). While replacement rates would likely increase with carbon controls, clearly short run marginal carbon emission reductions are an important policy metric.

With a carbon price, electric generation units powered by fossil fuels will have increased marginal costs. In the short run (before changes in the mix of available generation could be brought online), demand for electricity could be met at the lowest cost by redispatching existing generation assets according to their marginal costs, including the costs of their carbon emissions, taking into account transmission constraints.

The resulting change in electricity price due to a price on carbon depends on the portfolio of generation facilities available for dispatch and on the demand for electricity. Regions with significant amounts of low-carbon generation, such as nuclear, hydroelectricity, or natural gas, would see smaller increases in generation costs, while areas that are predominantly supplied by coal generation facilities would see larger increases in short run electricity prices.

We examine the effects of a carbon price on electricity demand in three U.S. Independent System Operator (ISO) or Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) regions. We simulate the imposition of a carbon price in the Midwest ISO, ERCOT (Texas), and PJM, and calculate the resulting change in carbon dioxide emissions in each area. We quantify the effect of a carbon price on load by first redispatching existing generators in these control areas under a range of carbon prices to determine the electricity price increase due to a carbon price, and then by analyzing a range of consumers' price elasticity of demand in response to the increase in electricity price.

A price for carbon emissions can change the demand for each fuel, since it can affect the order of dispatch of the generators. We find that a carbon dioxide price of \$50 per ton or less has a small effect on the dispatch order between coal and natural gas generators (heat rate, rather than fuel, has the largest dispatch order effect). Some low-carbon plants (for example, biomass) are dispatched before fossil plants at high carbon prices, but they do not account for much capacity. The main short run effect of the price increase is to lower the demand for electricity. In the long run, consumers may respond to higher electricity prices by adjusting their stock of goods that are powered by electricity (for example, they may purchase more energy-efficient appliances); in the short run they can only curtail use.

We emphasize that our analysis is confined to the short run, where the capital stock held by consumers is assumed not to change as a result of electricity price increases. Our analysis is a partial equilibrium analysis in that we hold the prices for various generation fuels constant, however we examine the effects of fuel prices on our results.

More information about the [Carnegie Mellon University](http://www.tepper.cmu.edu), Tepper School of Business may be found at [www.tepper.cmu.edu](http://www.tepper.cmu.edu).



[More Business Articles >](#)

[Search Archives >](#)


Power Engineering Article Categories:

- [Business](#)
- [Industry News](#)
- [Environmental](#)
- [Power Generation Young Professionals](#)
- [Power Engineering International Current Issue Table of Contents](#)
- [Power Engineering Current Issue Table of Contents](#)
- [Potencia Current Issue Table of Contents](#)
- [Nuclear Power International Current Issue Table of Contents](#)
- [Middle East Energy Current Issue Table of Contents](#)
- [Projects/Contracts](#)
- [Deregulation](#)
- [Русский язык](#)
- [Honeywell Automation & Control Solutions](#)

[Search Products Buyer's Guide >](#)

[Search Industry Jobs >](#)

[Magazine & E-Newsletter Subscriptions >](#)



### Sorbent Injection for Fossil Fuel Power Plants

- Optimal design for materials storage and reclaim facilities
- Accurate material feeding, with controlled turn-down to suit process requirements
- Accurate and dependable material flow splitting
- Mercury mitigation, SO<sub>2</sub>, or SO<sub>3</sub> in conjunction with SCR installations
- Reagents include hydrated lime, MgO, soda ash, trona and activated carbon
- Ask about our new Mobile Test Unit

[www.fls-pt.com](http://www.fls-pt.com)

### Available Now!

1MW to 55MW plants/equipment  
Two Rolls Royce RB211 Gas Turbines plus auxiliaries  
405.840.8383 - [www.walterspower.com](http://www.walterspower.com)

Clean Air To A  
Clean Air  
Clean Air

**Power Engineering Webcasts**



*Heat Rate Improvement Through Intelligent SootBlowing*  
August 13, 2008



*Air Pollution Control and Ash Handling Systems Solutions*  
Original broadcast on December 12, 2007



*Integrate Design, Operate and Maintain for Optimal O&M Performance (Second in a three-part series)*  
Original broadcast on November 15, 2007

more

**DISCOVER THE BENEFITS OF**  
**Real-Time, Multi-Port**  
**Combustion Analysis**

testo350.com

**PROVEN**  
**MERCURY**  
**REMOVAL**

**KÜTTNER**  
NORTH AMERICA

**Oil SANDS**  
HEAVY OIL  
TECHNOLOGIES

**Sponsored White Papers Library**

**Recently Added White Papers**

**Improving Power Plant Performance Through Technology Upgrade** (06/26/2008, Honeywell)

**Integrated Turbine Control Solution on Experion Platform** (06/26/2008, Honeywell)

more

**Featured White Papers**



**Evaluating cogeneration for your facility: A look at the potential energy efficiency, economic and environmental benefits**  
(06/02/2008)

more



**SUBSCRIBE** **e-newsletter** **magazines**

**SEARCH**

**GO** **Advanced**

[Home](#) | [About Us](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Corporate Website](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Courage and Valor Foundation](#) | [Site Map](#)  
[XML](#) [RSS](#) | [View all PennWell sites](#) | [View all PennWell events](#)  
**Also Visit:** [Electric Light & Power/Utility Automation T & D](#) | [PennWell Petroleum Group](#) | [WaterWorld](#)  
 Copyright © 2008: PennWell Corporation, Tulsa, OK; All Rights Reserved. | [Terms & Conditions](#) | [Webmaster](#)